Reid W. Lambert #5744
WOODBURY & KESLER, P.C.
265 East 100 South, Suite 300
P.O. Box 3358
Salt Lake City, UT 84111-3358
Telephone: (801) 364-1100

Attorneys for Respondents

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF SECURITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OF THE STATE OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF: ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY FEASIBILITY GROUP, *et al.*, Respondents.	**MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** Case Nos. SD-06-045, SD-06-046, SD-06-047, SD-06-048, SD-06-049, SD-06-050, SD-06-051, SD-06-052 SD-06-0053

Respondents Advanced Technology Feasibility Group, LLC, Impact Industries International, Ltd., UNA World Technology, Inc., Financial Planning Services, Inc., American Minerals Resources Group, LLC, All Optical Networks, Inc., Lite Tek International Corp, Bruce W. Anderson, and Nelly Anderson, hereby appear through counsel and move for a continuance of the hearing on the Order to Show Cause which is currently scheduled for September 7, 2006. The basis for this motion is as follows:

1. While Bruce Anderson has retained counsel to file this Motion, there are potential conflicts of interest that may preclude counsel from representing all parties. Despite their best efforts, the parties have not been able to resolve these conflict issues. Additional time will be

required to allow the parties a realistic opportunity to secure and prepare counsel so that they may be appropriately represented in these proceedings.

2. Counsel is aware that there is an ongoing criminal investigation of the matters set forth in the Order to Show Cause, and that the Utah Division of Securities is actively investigating criminal charges against Bruce W. Anderson and Nelly Anderson. Counsel has met two times with investigators, and these matters are the subject of on-going discussions and negotiations. Counsel submits that it is in the best interests of all parties to permit these discussions to conclude before proceeding in this administrative action.

3. The Andersons and other respondents specifically object to allowing parallel proceedings where their constitutional right against self-incrimination may be compromised by a need to answer the civil case. Under these circumstances, respondents submit that the most fair and judicious course would be to delay the civil proceedings pending resolution of the criminal case. *U.S. v. Kordel*, 397 U.S. 1, 12, n. 27 (1970).

4. Despite the best efforts of counsel to familiarize himself with the transactions, companies, and allegations which are the subject of the Order to Show Cause, counsel has been unable adequately to prepare for a full evidentiary hearing on September 7, 2006, and believes that at least another 90 days would be required adequately to prepare Respondents' case. Requiring Respondents to go forward on September 7, 2006 would thus bring about substantial prejudice to the Respondents.

5. Because Respondents are not currently offering to sell securities in the State of Utah at the behest of the Securities Division, there would be no prejudice to the Division if the matter were to be continued.

DATED this 5th day of September, 2006.

WOODBURY & KESLER, P.C.



Reid W. Lambert
Attorney for Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY

This Certifies that I did deliver by Hand Delivery and by regular mail a true and correct copy of the **MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** to the following this 5th day of September, 2006:

Division of Securities
Utah Department of Commerce
160 East 300 South
P.O. Box 146760
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-6760